

UNITED STATES PARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE US/526, /14 09/11		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR /95 ADITYA		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO O	
12400 WI SEVENTH	SOKOLOFF TAYL LSHIRE BOULEV FLOOR LES CA 90025		ART UNIT	1//	
			DATE MAILED:		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

DATE MAILED:

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	AT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
-		¬ [EXAMINER		
		-	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Secattachvent.

DAVID Y. ENG PRIMARY EXAMINER Application No. 08/526,714 Applicant(s)

Aditya et al.

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Group Art Unit David Y. Eng

2784

□ Responsive to commit	unication(s) filed on Sep 4, 1998		<u> </u>
X This action is FINAL.			
	is in condition for allowance except for the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 193		
is longer, from the mailir	eriod for response to this action is set ng date of this communication. Failure bandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extens	to respond within the pe	riod for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims			
🛚 Claim(s) <u>22, 26, 2</u>	28-33, and 39	is/a	re pending in the application.
Of the above, clai	m(s)	is/are	e withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)			_ is/are allowed.
	28-33, and 39		
	☐ Claim(s)		
Application Papers		<u> </u>	
	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin	ng Review, PTO-948.	
	ed onis/are object		
	wing correction, filed on		□disapproved.
	s objected to by the Examiner.		
☐ The oath or declar	ation is objected to by the Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119		ı
☐ Acknowledgemen	t is made of a claim for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some*	☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of	of the priority documents	have been
received.			
☐ received in a	Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nu	mber)	·
☐ received in t	this national stage application from the	e International Bureau (PC	T Rule 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies n			
☐ Acknowledgement	t is made of a claim for domestic prior	ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119	θ(e).
Attachment(s)			
☐ Notice of Reference	es Cited, PTO-892		
	sure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper N	lo(s)	
☐ Interview Summar	•		
	rson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-9	48	
□ Notice of Informal	Patent Application, PTO-152		
	SEE OFFICE ACTION ON	THE FOLLOWING PAGES	

Application/Control Number: 08526,714

Art Unit: 2315

The active claims are 22, 26, 28-33 and 36-39.

Applicants are correct in that claim 26 is rejected under 35 USC 103 over Hausman. The error is regreted.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 22, 26 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hausman.

Claims 29-33 and 36-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hausman inview of Copeland.

Details of the rejection have already been set forth in the last Office action. The details are incorporated herein by reference thereto.

With respect to applicants' remarks in the last paragraph on page 2 of the communication filed on September 4, 1998, the examiner has already responsed to this issue. See the last paragraph of page 2 of the Office action mailed May 1, 1998.

With respect to the remarks in the first full paragraph of page 3 of the communication, the claims do not recite what the host does in response to the indication recited in the second last paragraph of claim 22.

With respect to the remarks in the second last paragraph of page 3 of the communication, the examiner did not rely on the TX signal in the rejection.

Application/Control Number: 08526,714

Art Unit: 2315

In the last paragraph of page 3, applicants stated that the examiner employs impermissible hindsight. However, applicants have not provided any arguments to support the statement.

With respect to the first full paragraph on page 4 of the communication, the examiner has carefully read column3, lines 15-18 and 25-32 in Hausman. The examiner does not find the excerpt states that the RX signal is not generated until transmission at the physical link layer has at least begun. On the contrary, Hausman teaches that the interrupt signal indicating that a complete packet has been received is generated as soon as the packet has been received and not transmitted as argued by applicants (see lines 21-23 in column 3).

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

> DAVID Y. ENG PRIMARY EXAMINER